

The arithmetic of quadratic twists of elliptic curves

— In memory of John Henry Coates

Ye Tian

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science

2022/07/13

The Congruent Number Problem

The Congruent Number Problem

Definition (Congruent number)

A positive integer is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right angled triangle with rational side lengths.

The Congruent Number Problem

Definition (Congruent number)

A positive integer is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right angled triangle with rational side lengths.

- 5, 6, 7 are congruent numbers (Fibonacci),

The Congruent Number Problem

Definition (Congruent number)

A positive integer is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right angled triangle with rational side lengths.

- 5, 6, 7 are congruent numbers (Fibonacci),
- 1, 2, 3 are non-congruent numbers (Fermat).

The Congruent Number Problem

Definition (Congruent number)

A positive integer is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right angled triangle with rational side lengths.

- 5, 6, 7 are congruent numbers (Fibonacci),
- 1, 2, 3 are non-congruent numbers (Fermat).

Example

The number 2022 is congruent with the “simplest” triangle having side lengths

$$\frac{51897851719}{88847070}, \quad \frac{359297551080}{51897851719}, \quad \frac{2693576182377580134961}{4610972064527613330}.$$

The Congruent Number Problem

Definition (Congruent number)

A positive integer is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right angled triangle with rational side lengths.

- 5, 6, 7 are congruent numbers (Fibonacci),
- 1, 2, 3 are non-congruent numbers (Fermat).

Example

The number 2022 is congruent with the “simplest” triangle having side lengths

$$\frac{51897851719}{88847070}, \quad \frac{359297551080}{51897851719}, \quad \frac{2693576182377580134961}{4610972064527613330}.$$

The Congruent Number Problem

The congruent number problem is to determine whether or not a given positive integer is congruent number.

Theorem (Heegner 1952)

Any prime or twice of prime congruent to 5, 6, 7 mod 8 is a congruent number.

Theorem (Heegner 1952)

Any prime or twice of prime congruent to $5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ is a congruent number.

Theorem (T 2012)

For any $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many congruent numbers among square-free integers $\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ (resp. $6 \pmod{8}$, $7 \pmod{8}$) with exact k odd prime factors.

Theorem (Heegner 1952)

Any prime or twice of prime congruent to $5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ is a congruent number.

Theorem (T 2012)

For any $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many congruent numbers among square-free integers $\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ (resp. $6 \pmod{8}$, $7 \pmod{8}$) with exact k odd prime factors.

Theorem (Smith, Yuan-Zhang-T 2014)

At least half of square-free positive integers $\equiv 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ are congruent numbers.

Theorem (Heegner 1952)

Any prime or twice of prime congruent to $5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ is a congruent number.

Theorem (T 2012)

For any $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many congruent numbers among square-free integers $\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ (resp. $6 \pmod{8}$, $7 \pmod{8}$) with exact k odd prime factors.

Theorem (Smith, Yuan-Zhang-T 2014)

At least half of square-free positive integers $\equiv 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$ are congruent numbers.

Remark

Our generalization of Heegner's results by introduce an induction argument (on the number k of prime factors), which involves L-functions and Gross-Zagier and Waldspurger formulae.

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Congruent number problem is essentially about the quadratic family $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Congruent number problem is essentially about the quadratic family $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
In general, for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} given by: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of its quadratic twists:

$$ny^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad n \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}.$$

As $A \in \mathcal{A}$ varies, we are interested in the distribution of

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Congruent number problem is essentially about the quadratic family $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
In general, for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} given by: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of its quadratic twists:

$$ny^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad n \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}.$$

As $A \in \mathcal{A}$ varies, we are interested in the distribution of

- $\text{rank } A(\mathbb{Q})$, $\#\text{III}(A/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p(A/\mathbb{Q})$, $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Congruent number problem is essentially about the quadratic family $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
In general, for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} given by: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of its quadratic twists:

$$ny^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad n \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}.$$

As $A \in \mathcal{A}$ varies, we are interested in the distribution of

- rank $A(\mathbb{Q})$, $\#\text{III}(A/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p(A/\mathbb{Q})$, $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.
- leading term of $L(A, s)$: $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s)$, $\text{III}^{an}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.

Quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}

Congruent number problem is essentially about the quadratic family $ny^2 = x^3 - x$. In general, for an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} given by: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of its quadratic twists:

$$ny^2 = x^3 + ax + b, \quad n \in \mathbb{Q}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}.$$

As $A \in \mathcal{A}$ varies, we are interested in the distribution of

- rank $A(\mathbb{Q})$, $\#\text{III}(A/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty]$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p(A/\mathbb{Q})$, $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.
- leading term of $L(A, s)$: $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s)$, $\text{III}^{an}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.

In this talk, we focus on the **L-function side**, although some of the discussions are related to Selmer groups.

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjecture (Goldfeld)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . Then for $r \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

We call the case with $r = 0$ (resp 1) the even (resp. odd) parity Goldfeld conjecture.

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjecture (Goldfeld)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . Then for $r \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

We call the case with $r = 0$ (resp 1) the even (resp. odd) parity Goldfeld conjecture.

The behavior for III^{an} is subtle. However, Kolyvagin proposed the following

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjecture (Goldfeld)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . Then for $r \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

We call the case with $r = 0$ (resp 1) the even (resp. odd) parity Goldfeld conjecture.

The behavior for III^{an} is subtle. However, Kolyvagin proposed the following

Conjecture (Kolyvagin)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and p any prime. There exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjecture (Goldfeld)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . Then for $r \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

We call the case with $r = 0$ (resp 1) the even (resp. odd) parity Goldfeld conjecture.

The behavior for III^{an} is subtle. However, Kolyvagin proposed the following

Conjecture (Kolyvagin)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and p any prime. There exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

- $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = 0$ (resp. 1).

Conjectures on Leading terms of L-series under Quadratic Twists

Conjecture (Goldfeld)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} . Then for $r \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\text{Prob}(\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

We call the case with $r = 0$ (resp 1) the even (resp. odd) parity Goldfeld conjecture.

The behavior for III^{an} is subtle. However, Kolyvagin proposed the following

Conjecture (Kolyvagin)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and p any prime. There exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

- $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = 0$ (resp. 1).
- $p \nmid \text{III}^{an}(A/\mathbb{Q})$.

Goldfeld Conjecture for CM Families

Goldfeld Conjecture for CM Families

Theorem A

For quadratic twist families of CM elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , we have the following

- ① *the even parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if the CM field is not $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$;*
- ② *the odd parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if 2 splits in the CM field.*

Thus the Goldfeld conjecture holds for the family containing the conductor 49 curve.

Goldfeld Conjecture for CM Families

Theorem A

For quadratic twist families of CM elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , we have the following

- ① *the even parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if the CM field is not $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$;*
- ② *the odd parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if 2 splits in the CM field.*

Thus the Goldfeld conjecture holds for the family containing the conductor 49 curve.

The proof of the result consists of two parts.

Goldfeld Conjecture for CM Families

Theorem A

For quadratic twist families of CM elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , we have the following

- 1 the even parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if the CM field is not $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$;
- 2 the odd parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if 2 splits in the CM field.

Thus the Goldfeld conjecture holds for the family containing the conductor 49 curve.

The proof of the result consists of two parts.

Theorem A1 (Burungale-T, Burungale-Castella-Skinner-T)

Let A be a CM elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , p a prime and $r = 0, 1$. Then the rank r p -converse holds:

$$\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/\mathbb{Q}) = r \implies \text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r,$$

provided that p is ordinary if $r = 1$.

Goldfeld Conjecture for CM Families

Theorem A

For quadratic twist families of CM elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , we have the following

- 1 the even parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if the CM field is not $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$;
- 2 the odd parity Goldfeld conjecture holds if 2 splits in the CM field.

Thus the Goldfeld conjecture holds for the family containing the conductor 49 curve.

The proof of the result consists of two parts.

Theorem A1 (Burungale-T, Burungale-Castella-Skinner-T)

Let A be a CM elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} , p a prime and $r = 0, 1$. Then the rank r p -converse holds:

$$\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/\mathbb{Q}) = r \implies \text{ord}_{s=1} L(A, s) = r,$$

provided that p is ordinary if $r = 1$.

Theorem A2 (Smith)

The 2^∞ -Selmer analogue Goldfeld conjecture holds for families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} satisfying the following assumption S .

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for the unique \mathbb{Q} -degree 2 isogeny $A \rightarrow A_0$, $\mathbb{Q}(A_0[2]) \neq \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$; or

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for the unique \mathbb{Q} -degree 2 isogeny $A \rightarrow A_0$, $\mathbb{Q}(A_0[2]) \neq \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and A has no cyclic degree 4 isogeny over \mathbb{Q} .

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for the unique \mathbb{Q} -degree 2 isogeny $A \rightarrow A_0$, $\mathbb{Q}(A_0[2]) \neq \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and A has no cyclic degree 4 isogeny over \mathbb{Q} .

Actually, in many cases Smith proved the Selmer analogue Goldfeld conjecture via establishing that the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups in \mathcal{A} follows the same principle in the BKLPR conjecture for $p = 2$.

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for the unique \mathbb{Q} -degree 2 isogeny $A \rightarrow A_0$, $\mathbb{Q}(A_0[2]) \neq \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and A has no cyclic degree 4 isogeny over \mathbb{Q} .

Actually, in many cases Smith proved the Selmer analogue Goldfeld conjecture via establishing that the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups in \mathcal{A} follows the same principle in the BKLPR conjecture for $p = 2$.

Conjecture (Bhargava-Kane-Lenstra-Poonen-Rains)

Let \mathfrak{A}_F be the set of all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a fixed number field F , ordered by height. For $r = 0, 1$ and any G finite symplectic p -group,

$$\text{Prob} \left(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/F) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^r \oplus G \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}_F, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r \right) = \frac{(\#G)^{1-r}}{\#\text{Sp}(G)} \cdot \prod_{i \geq r} (1 - p^{1-2i}).$$

Assumption S: There is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that one of the following holds:

- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for the unique \mathbb{Q} -degree 2 isogeny $A \rightarrow A_0$, $\mathbb{Q}(A_0[2]) \neq \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(A[2])$; or
- $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ and A has no cyclic degree 4 isogeny over \mathbb{Q} .

Actually, in many cases Smith proved the Selmer analogue Goldfeld conjecture via establishing that the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups in \mathcal{A} follows the same principle in the BKLPR conjecture for $p = 2$.

Conjecture (Bhargava-Kane-Lenstra-Poonen-Rains)

Let \mathfrak{A}_F be the set of all isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a fixed number field F , ordered by height. For $r = 0, 1$ and any G finite symplectic p -group,

$$\text{Prob} \left(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(A/F) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^r \oplus G \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}_F, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r \right) = \frac{(\#G)^{1-r}}{\#\text{Sp}(G)} \cdot \prod_{i \geq r} (1 - p^{1-2i}).$$

In particular, the average of $\#\text{Sel}_2(A/F)$ is 3 and

$$\text{Prob}(\text{rank } A(F) = r \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}_F, \epsilon(A) = (-1)^r) = 1.$$

Equivalence relation for quadratic twist families

Equivalence relation for quadratic twist families

For general quadratic twist families of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , the distribution of Selmer groups does not follow the BKLPR's principle.

Equivalence relation for quadratic twist families

For general quadratic twist families of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , the distribution of Selmer groups does not follow the BKLPR's principle.

For \mathcal{A} a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , let Σ be a finite set of places

$$\Sigma \supseteq \{p \mid \text{any } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ has bad reduction at } p\} \cup \{2, \infty\}.$$

Equivalence relation for quadratic twist families

For general quadratic twist families of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , the distribution of Selmer groups does not follow the BKLPR's principle.

For \mathcal{A} a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , let Σ be a finite set of places

$$\Sigma \supseteq \{p \mid \text{any } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ has bad reduction at } p\} \cup \{2, \infty\}.$$

Definition

Two elliptic curves in \mathcal{A} are called Σ -equivalent if they are isomorphic over \mathbb{Q}_v for all $v \in \Sigma$.

Equivalence relation for quadratic twist families

For general quadratic twist families of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , the distribution of Selmer groups does not follow the BKLPR's principle.

For \mathcal{A} a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , let Σ be a finite set of places

$$\Sigma \supseteq \{p \mid \text{any } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ has bad reduction at } p\} \cup \{2, \infty\}.$$

Definition

Two elliptic curves in \mathcal{A} are called Σ -equivalent if they are isomorphic over \mathbb{Q}_v for all $v \in \Sigma$.

The root numbers of elliptic curves in a fixed class \mathfrak{X} are the same, denoted by $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$.

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} with full rational 2-torsion points are divided into three types:

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} with full rational 2-torsion points are divided into three types:

- (A) \mathcal{A} does not have a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, e.g. the congruent number curves
 $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} with full rational 2-torsion points are divided into three types:

- (A) \mathcal{A} does not have a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, e.g. the congruent number curves $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
- (B) \mathcal{A} has a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, and $A[4] \not\subseteq A(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, e.g. $ny^2 = x(x-3)(x+1)$.

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} with full rational 2-torsion points are divided into three types:

- (A) \mathcal{A} does not have a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, e.g. the congruent number curves $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
- (B) \mathcal{A} has a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, and $A[4] \not\subseteq A(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, e.g. $ny^2 = x(x-3)(x+1)$.
- (C) \mathcal{A} has a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, and $A[4] \subseteq A(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. e.g. $ny^2 = x(x-9)(x-25)$.

Elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion

Families \mathcal{A} over \mathbb{Q} with full rational 2-torsion points are divided into three types:

- (A) \mathcal{A} does not have a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, e.g. the congruent number curves $ny^2 = x^3 - x$.
- (B) \mathcal{A} has a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, and $A[4] \not\subseteq A(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, e.g. $ny^2 = x(x-3)(x+1)$.
- (C) \mathcal{A} has a rational cyclic 4-isogeny, and $A[4] \subseteq A(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. e.g. $ny^2 = x(x-9)(x-25)$.

We now discuss the distribution of 2-Selmer group $\text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})$.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (A)

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (A)

For type (A), the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups is independent of equivalence classes $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (A)

For type (A), the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups is independent of equivalence classes $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Heath-Brown, Swinnetron-Dyer, Kane)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of type (A) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X} \right) = 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-j})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{2}{2^i - 1}.$$

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (A)

For type (A), the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups is independent of equivalence classes $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Heath-Brown, Swinnetron-Dyer, Kane)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of type (A) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X} \right) = 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-j})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{2}{2^i - 1}.$$

The above result is the starting point of Smith's work on 2^∞ -Selmer groups.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (A)

For type (A), the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups is independent of equivalence classes $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Heath-Brown, Swinnetron-Dyer, Kane)

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of type (A) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A(\mathbb{Q})[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X} \right) = 2 \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{-j})^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{2}{2^i - 1}.$$

The above result is the starting point of Smith's work on 2^∞ -Selmer groups.

Corollary

The average of $\# \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ for $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ of type (A) is always 3.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X}) = \alpha_{d,t} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i}),$$

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X}) = \alpha_{d,t} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i}),$$

where $\alpha_{d,t} = 0$ if $d < t$ ($\max\{t_i\}$), otherwise $\alpha_{d,t} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ only dependent on d and t .

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X}) = \alpha_{d,t} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i}),$$

where $\alpha_{d,t} = 0$ if $d < t$ ($\max\{t_i\}$), otherwise $\alpha_{d,t} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ only dependent on d and t . Moreover, if $\Sigma \subset \Sigma'$, then any Σ' -equivalence class $\mathfrak{X}' \subset \mathfrak{X}$ has the same t and $\alpha_{d,t}$.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X}) = \alpha_{d,t} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i}),$$

where $\alpha_{d,t} = 0$ if $d < t$ ($\max\{t_i\}$), otherwise $\alpha_{d,t} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ only dependent on d and t . Moreover, if $\Sigma \subset \Sigma'$, then any Σ' -equivalence class $\mathfrak{X}' \subset \mathfrak{X}$ has the same t and $\alpha_{d,t}$.

We expect to establish the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups starting from this result.

Distribution of 2-Selmer groups for type (B) and (C)

Theorem (Pan-T)

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of type (B) or (C) and $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ an equivalence class. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for type (B), $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for type (C), only dependent on \mathfrak{X} , with

$$(-1)^t = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ for type (B),} \quad (-1)^{t_i} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X}), \quad t_1 + t_2 \leq 0 \text{ for type (C),}$$

such that for any integer $d \geq 0$ with $(-1)^d = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$,

$$\text{Prob}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2] = d \mid A \in \mathfrak{X}) = \alpha_{d,t} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{-i}),$$

where $\alpha_{d,t} = 0$ if $d < t$ ($\max\{t_i\}$), otherwise $\alpha_{d,t} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ only dependent on d and t . Moreover, if $\Sigma \subset \Sigma'$, then any Σ' -equivalence class $\mathfrak{X}' \subset \mathfrak{X}$ has the same t and $\alpha_{d,t}$.

We expect to establish the distribution of 2^∞ -Selmer groups starting from this result.

Corollary

The average of $\#\text{Sel}_2(A/\mathbb{Q})/A[2]$ for $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ is equal to $3 + 2^t$ for type (B) and $3 + 2^{t_1} + 2^{t_2}$ for type (C).

Kolyvagin's Question

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

- Kolyvagin's conjecture predicts that for $r = 0, 1$,

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{sign}(A) = (-1)^r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2] = r.$$

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

- Kolyvagin's conjecture predicts that for $r = 0, 1$,

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{sign}(A) = (-1)^r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2] = r.$$

- distribution has nicer behavior when restricted in equivalence classes, but for some classes \mathfrak{X} , $\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2]$ may not reach minimal (i.e. 0 or 1 according to sign).

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

- Kolyvagin's conjecture predicts that for $r = 0, 1$,

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{sign}(A) = (-1)^r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2] = r.$$

- distribution has nicer behavior when restricted in equivalence classes, but for some classes \mathfrak{X} , $\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2]$ may not reach minimal (i.e. 0 or 1 according to sign).

It seems natural to consider the following variation of Kolyvagin's problem:

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

- Kolyvagin's conjecture predicts that for $r = 0, 1$,

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{sign}(A) = (-1)^r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2] = r.$$

- distribution has nicer behavior when restricted in equivalence classes, but for some classes \mathfrak{X} , $\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2]$ may not reach minimal (i.e. 0 or 1 according to sign).

It seems natural to consider the following variation of Kolyvagin's problem:

Question

For an equivalence class \mathfrak{X} of quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and a prime p , let $r \in \{0, 1\}$ with $(-1)^r = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$, what is the behavior of

$$\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}, r_A = r} \text{ord}_p \text{III}^{an}(A), \quad \text{as } \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ varies?}$$

Kolyvagin's Question

Now we are in the situation that

- Kolyvagin's conjecture predicts that for $r = 0, 1$,

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{sign}(A) = (-1)^r} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2] = r.$$

- distribution has nicer behavior when restricted in equivalence classes, but for some classes \mathfrak{X} , $\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(A)/A[2]$ may not reach minimal (i.e. 0 or 1 according to sign).

It seems natural to consider the following variation of Kolyvagin's problem:

Question

For an equivalence class \mathfrak{X} of quadratic twists of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and a prime p , let $r \in \{0, 1\}$ with $(-1)^r = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$, what is the behavior of

$$\min_{A \in \mathfrak{X}, r_A = r} \text{ord}_p \text{III}^{an}(A), \quad \text{as } \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ varies?}$$

Suitable constructed (arithmetic) theta series on $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2$ have Fourier coefficients basically $\text{III}^{an}(A)$ exactly for $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $r_A \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(-1)^{r_A} = \epsilon(\mathfrak{X})$.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{A})$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

- \mathbb{B} : an incoherent definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{A} and $V = \mathbb{B}^{\text{tr}=0}$.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

- \mathbb{B} : an incoherent definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{A} and $V = \mathbb{B}^{\text{tr}=0}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(V)$: the Weil representation of $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{G}$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash \mathbb{B}^\times$.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

- \mathbb{B} : an incoherent definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{A} and $V = \mathbb{B}^{\text{tr}=0}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(V)$: the Weil representation of $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{G}$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash \mathbb{B}^\times$.
- $X = \varprojlim_U X_U$: the Shimura curve over \mathbb{Q} for \mathbb{H} , and J its Jacobian.

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{A})}$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

- \mathbb{B} : an incoherent definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{A} and $V = \mathbb{B}^{\text{tr}=0}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(V)$: the Weil representation of $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{G}$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash \mathbb{B}^\times$.
- $X = \varprojlim_U X_U$: the Shimura curve over \mathbb{Q} for \mathbb{H} , and J its Jacobian.
- $\pi_A := \text{Hom}(J, A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} is an e.c. with sign -1 parameterized by X .

Modularity of Heegner cycles

the classical theta lifting.

- $\psi : \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$: a fixed non-trivial additive character;
- B : a quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $V = B^{\text{tr}=0}$;
- $H = PB^\times$ and $\mathbb{G} = \widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{A})$;
- $\mathcal{S}(V_{\mathbb{A}})$: the Weil representation $\omega = \omega_\psi$ of $H_{\mathbb{A}} \times \mathbb{G}$.

For an automorphic $\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(H_{\mathbb{A}})$, the theta kernels $\theta_\phi := \sum_{x \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g, h)\phi(x)$ define its lifting $\theta(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$.

the arithmetic theta lifting:

- \mathbb{B} : an incoherent definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{A} and $V = \mathbb{B}^{\text{tr}=0}$.
- $\mathcal{S}(V)$: the Weil representation of $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{G}$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash \mathbb{B}^\times$.
- $X = \varprojlim_U X_U$: the Shimura curve over \mathbb{Q} for \mathbb{H} , and J its Jacobian.
- $\pi_A := \text{Hom}(J, A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where A/\mathbb{Q} is an e.c. with sign -1 parameterized by X .

Definition (Yuan-Zhang-Zhang, Arithmetic theta lifting)

There is the theta kernel ϑ_ϕ (using CM points) such that the arithmetic theta lifting of π_A :

$$\vartheta(\pi_A) := \{ \vartheta_\phi^f = f \circ \vartheta_\phi \mid f \in \pi_A, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(V) \} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

is a representation of \mathbb{G} with the pairing $(\ , \)_{NT}$ given by the Néron - Tate height.

Arithmetic Rallis inner product formula

Arithmetic Rallis inner product formula

Fix \mathbb{H}_v -invariant pairings $(\ , \)_v$ on π_v such that for any pure tensors $f_i = (f_{i,U})_U$,

$$\prod_v (f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v \doteq f_{1,U} \circ f_{2,U}^\vee \quad (\text{fixed } \pi_{A,\mathbb{C}} \cong \otimes \pi_v).$$

Arithmetic Rallis inner product formula

Fix \mathbb{H}_v -invariant pairings $(\ , \)_v$ on π_v such that for any pure tensors $f_i = (f_{i,U})_U$,

$$\prod_v (f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v \doteq f_{1,U} \circ f_{2,U}^\vee \quad (\text{fixed } \pi_{A,\mathbb{C}} \cong \otimes \pi_v).$$

Theorem C1 (He-Xiong-T)

For pure tensors $f_1, f_2 \in \pi_A$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$, the following equality holds (with standard measures):

$$(\vartheta_{\phi_1}^{f_1}, \vartheta_{\phi_2}^{f_2})_{NT} = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_A)}{L(2, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})} \cdot \prod_v Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}),$$

where $Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}) = \frac{L(2, 1_v)}{L(1/2, \pi_v)} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{H}_v} (h\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v})_v \overline{(hf_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v} dh$.

Arithmetic Rallis inner product formula

Fix \mathbb{H}_v -invariant pairings $(\ , \)_v$ on π_v such that for any pure tensors $f_i = (f_{i,U})_U$,

$$\prod_v (f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v \doteq f_{1,U} \circ f_{2,U}^\vee \quad (\text{fixed } \pi_{A,\mathbb{C}} \cong \otimes \pi_v).$$

Theorem C1 (He-Xiong-T)

For pure tensors $f_1, f_2 \in \pi_A$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$, the following equality holds (with standard measures):

$$(\vartheta_{\phi_1}^{f_1}, \vartheta_{\phi_2}^{f_2})_{NT} = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_A)}{L(2, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})} \cdot \prod_v Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}),$$

where $Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}) = \frac{L(2, 1_v)}{L(1/2, \pi_v)} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{H}_v} (h\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v})_v \overline{(hf_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v} dh$.

Remark

1. Previous work on RI were established by (arith.) Siegel-Weil formula and doubling method. Our approach does not involve doubling method, but (i) a decomposition formula of Whittaker periods and (ii) Gross-Zagier formula of Yuan-Zhang-Zhang.

Arithmetic Rallis inner product formula

Fix \mathbb{H}_v -invariant pairings $(\ , \)_v$ on π_v such that for any pure tensors $f_i = (f_{i,U})_U$,

$$\prod_v (f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v \doteq f_{1,U} \circ f_{2,U}^\vee \quad (\text{fixed } \pi_{A,\mathbb{C}} \cong \otimes \pi_v).$$

Theorem C1 (He-Xiong-T)

For pure tensors $f_1, f_2 \in \pi_A$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V})$, the following equality holds (with standard measures):

$$(\vartheta_{\phi_1}^{f_1}, \vartheta_{\phi_2}^{f_2})_{NT} = \frac{L'(1/2, \pi_A)}{L(2, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})} \cdot \prod_v Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}),$$

where $Z_v(\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}) = \frac{L(2, 1_v)}{L(1/2, \pi_v)} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{H}_v} (h\phi_{1,v}, \phi_{2,v})_v \overline{(hf_{1,v}, f_{2,v})_v} dh$.

Remark

1. Previous work on RI were established by (arith.) Siegel-Weil formula and doubling method. Our approach does not involve doubling method, but (i) a decomposition formula of Whittaker periods and (ii) Gross-Zagier formula of Yuan-Zhang-Zhang.
2. Certain form of ARI was first conjectured by Kudla, and proved by Kudla-Rapoport-Yang et al via an arithmetic Siegel-Weil over \mathbb{Q} in certain case.

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

- $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, A)$: $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_1) = -1$, $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_2) = +1$ s.t. $D_1 D_2 < 0$ for $A^{(D_i)} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$;

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

- $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, A)$: $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_1) = -1$, $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_2) = +1$ s.t. $D_1 D_2 < 0$ for $A^{(D_i)} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$;
- π_A on \mathbb{H}^\times and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{B}^{tr=0}$,

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

- $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, A)$: $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_1) = -1$, $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_2) = +1$ s.t. $D_1 D_2 < 0$ for $A^{(D_i)} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$;
- π_A on \mathbb{H}^\times and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{B}^{tr=0}$,
- $(f \in \pi_A, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}))$ suitable test vector, (f_{D_1}, ϕ_{D_1}) its twist.

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

- $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, A)$: $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_1) = -1$, $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_2) = +1$ s.t. $D_1 D_2 < 0$ for $A^{(D_i)} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$;
- π_A on \mathbb{H}^\times and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{B}^{tr=0}$,
- $(f \in \pi_A, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}))$ suitable test vector, (f_{D_1}, ϕ_{D_1}) its twist.

Consider the integral structure

$$\vartheta_{\phi_{D_1}}^{f_{D_1}} = p^{u_{D_1}} \cdot \vartheta_{D_1,0}, \quad u_{D_1} \doteq \frac{1}{2} \min_{D_2} \sum_i \text{ord}_p \text{III}^{an}(A^{(D_i)}),$$

and $\vartheta_{D_1,0}$ primitive.

Application to Kolyvagin's Problem

Let \mathcal{A} be a quadratic twist family of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

- $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, A)$: $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_1) = -1$, $\epsilon(\mathfrak{X}_2) = +1$ s.t. $D_1 D_2 < 0$ for $A^{(D_i)} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$;
- π_A on \mathbb{H}^\times and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{B}^{tr=0}$,
- $(f \in \pi_A, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{V}))$ suitable test vector, (f_{D_1}, ϕ_{D_1}) its twist.

Consider the integral structure

$$\vartheta_{\phi_{D_1}}^{f_{D_1}} = p^{u_{D_1}} \cdot \vartheta_{D_1,0}, \quad u_{D_1} \doteq \frac{1}{2} \min_{D_2} \sum_i \text{ord}_p \text{III}^{an}(A^{(D_i)}),$$

and $\vartheta_{D_1,0}$ primitive. It follows from ARI and certain arithmetic Whittaker period formula that

$$\min_{A^{(D_1)} \in \mathfrak{X}_1} \text{ord}_p \frac{L'(A^{(D_1)}, 1)}{R_{A^{(D_1)}} \Omega_A^{\epsilon_1} / \sqrt{D_1}} - \min_{A^{(D_2)} \in \mathfrak{X}_2} \text{ord}_p \frac{L(A^{(D_2)}, 1)}{\Omega_A^{\epsilon_2} / \sqrt{D_2}},$$

where $\epsilon_i = \text{sign} D_i$, is equal to

$$(I) + \text{ord}_p(f, f) \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\pi_A}^+ \Omega_{\pi_A}^-}{2L(1, \pi_A, ad)} + 2 \min_{A^{(D_1)} \in \mathfrak{X}_1} \text{ord}_p \frac{(\vartheta_{\phi_{D_1}}^{f_{D_1}}, \vartheta_{D_1,0})_{\text{NT}}}{(f_{D_1}, f_{D_1}) R_{A^{(D_1)}} \Omega_{\pi_A}^{\epsilon_1}},$$

where (I) involves local integrals with test vectors, which can be made explicit.

Thank You !

